PROGRESSIVE CLUB
OF STINSON BEACH
POST OFFICE BOX 6 • STINSON BEACH
CALIFORNIA • 94970

Dear Sir:

The Progressive Club seeks your cooperation and participation in its 1967 anti-litter campaign. Tom Storer, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, has indicated a keen interest in this effort and will preside at a meeting of interested agencies, generally aimed at defining the problems and areas of responsibility. The meeting will be held on

This will be the first attempt in recent years in Marin County to approach the litter problem an a comprehensive and co-ordinated basis, and can serve as a pilot study for anti-litter efforts elsewhere.

We hope that you, or a responsible member of your department or agency, will attend. A proposed agenda is attached.

Very truly yours

HUGH DOUGHERTY, Vice-president
The Progressive Club

STATE OF CALIFORNIA—TRANSPORTATION AGENCY EDMUND G. BROWN, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
P. O. BOX 3366 RINCON ANNEX, SAN FRANCISCO 94119

RECEIVED
OCT 21 1966
MARIN COUNTY
PLAN G COMMISSION

October 18, 1966

404

Mr. Hugh Dougherty
Marin County Planning Commission
Civic Center, San Pedro Road
San Rafael, California 94903

Dear Mr. Dougherty: Hugh

With the increasing emphasis on litter pickup these days, I am pleased to pass along a few statistics in accordance with our recent discussion.

Litter and debris pickup along our highways has always been a major maintenance problem. Routine litter cleanup is performed by our field crews on all State highways throughout the nine Bay Area counties with special emphasis on each Friday and Monday. Specially assigned freeway patrols pick up debris and litter in the metropolitan areas. These total 8 freeway patrols with 15 personnel assigned.

Last year our costs for sweeping debris and litter pickup amounted to about $450,000. This represents an increase of 12% over the preceding year. We anticipate that this year's costs will be close to $600,000 for this work.

The sheer bulk of material picked up on the highways and transported to the local dump areas is impressive. Using a local example, in the City and County of San Francisco alone, approximately 1,600 cubic yards of litter and debris were picked up at a cost of almost $90,000 last year. Throughout the Bay Area, our pickup averages about 7 cubic yards of debris for each mile of highway each month. We estimate a total of 1,000 cubic yards of debris is picked up and hauled to the dump each month from highways in the Bay Area. Approximately half of this total consists of beer and pop cans. On the average, over 5,000 beer and pop cans are picked up each day in the Bay Area.

A tremendous variety is picked up on the highways by our maintenance forces. Just about every conceivable thing has been found on the highways including television sets, bicycles, electric floor heaters, hot water heaters (even brand new ones), golf clubs, and 5 gallon cans of frozen strawberries. Smaller, more

valuable items are often found such as glasses, check books, wallets and once our crews even found a load of brand new sweaters. Almost all of these items of value are returned to the owners or distributors. Otherwise, they are delivered to the local sheriff's office for disposition.

In summary, we note that our litter cleanup operation will pick up enough debris in a year's time to cover an entire city block to a depth of 4 feet. Included would be over one million beer and pop cans.

Yours very truly,

ALAN S. HART
District Engineer

June 8, 1967

Mr. Pierre Joske, Director
Marin County Park & Recreation Dept.
Civic Center
San Rafael, California

Dear Pierre:

The Anti-Litter Committee of the Progressive Club seeks your participation in its 1967 anti-litter campaign. Tom Storer, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, has expressed his interest in this effort, and will preside at a meeting of interested agencies, generally aimed at defining the problem and areas of responsibility. The meeting will be at 4 p.m., Tuesday June 13, in room 342, Civic Center, San Rafael.

This may be the first attempt in recent years in Marin to approach the litter problem on a comprehensive and co-ordinated basis, and perhaps can serve as a pilot study for similar efforts elsewhere.

Because of your familiarity with litter control problems in recreation areas, we believe you are well qualified to assist us in our efforts. A proposed agenda is attached.

Very truly yours

HUGH DOUGHERTY, Vice-Pres.
The Progressive Club

June 8, 1967

Mr. Robert G. Carlson, Supt.
Stinson State Beach
Stinson Beach, California

Dear Bob:

The Anti-Litter Committee of the Progressive Club seeks your cooperation and participation in its 1967 anti-litter campaign. Tom Storer, Cahiarman of the Board of Supervisors, has expressed his interest in this effort and will preside at a meeting of interested agencies, generally aimed at defining the problems and areas of responsibility. The meeting will be at 4 p.m., Tuesday June 13, in room 342, Civic Center, San Rafael.

This is probably the first attempt in recent years in Marin to approach the litter problem on a comprehensive and co-ordinated basis, and perhaps can serve as a pilot study for similar efforts elsewhere

Because of your successful efforts to control litter within the Stinson State Beach, we believe you are well qualified to assist us in our efforts beyond the boundaries of the beach, and hope that you will attend. A proposed agenda is attached.

Very truly yours

HUGH DOUGHERTY, Vice-Pres.
The Progressive Club

cc Mr. Robert Hatch, Supt.
Department of Beaches & Parks
1617 Terrace Way
Santa Rosa, Calif.

June 7, 1967

Mr. Donald Frost, Director of Public Works
Marin County Civic Center
San Rafael, California

Dear Don:

The Anti-Litter Committee of the Progressive Club seeks your cooperation and participation in its 1967 anti-litter campaign. Tom Storer, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, has expressed his interest in this effort and will preside at a meeting of interested agencies, generally aimed at defining the problems and areas of responsibility. The meeting will be at 4 p.m., Tuesday June 13, in room 342, Civic Center, San Rafael.

This is probably the first attempt in recent years in Marin to approach the litter problem on a comprehensive and co-ordinated basis, and perhaps can serve as a pilot study for similar efforts elsewhere.

We hope that you, or a responsible member of your staff, will attend. A proposed agenda is attached.

Very truly yours

HUGH DOUGHERTY, Vice-Pres.
The Progressive Club

June 7, 1967

Mr. Alan S. Hart, District Engr.
California Division of Highways
150 Oak Street
San Francisco, California

Dear Al:

The Anti-Litter Committee of the Progressive Club seeks your cooperation and participation in its 1967 anti-litter campaign. Tom Storer, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, has expressed his interest in this effort, and will preside at a meeting of interested agencies, generally aimed at defining the problems and areas of responsibility. The meeting will be at 4 p.m., Tuesday June 13, in room 342, Civic Center, San Rafael.

This is probably the first attempt in recent years in Marin to approach the litter problem on a comprehensive and co-ordinated basis, and perhaps can serve as a pilot study for similar efforts elsewhere.

We hope that you, or a member of your staff, will attend. A proposed agenda is attached.

Very truly yours

HUGH DOUGHERTY, Vice-Pres.
The Progressive Club

June 7, 1967

Mr. Norvell Gillespie
California Anti-Litter League
350 Sansome St.
San Francisco, California

Dear Mr. Gillespie:

Confirming our telephone conversation of this morning, the anti-litter committee of the Progressive Club has arranged for a meeting of representatives of various interested departments and agencies of government, generally for the purpose of defining the problems and areas of responsibility of litter control in and near Stinson Beach. Mr. Tom Storer, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, will preside.

The meeting will be at 4 p.m., Tuesday June 13, in room 342, Civic Center, San Rafael. A proposed agenda is attached.

We hope that you can arrange to attend.

Very truly yours

HUGH DOUGHERTY, Vice-Pres.
The Progressive Club

June 7, 1967

Sheriff Louis Mountanos
County Courthouse
San Rafael, California

Dear Sir:

The Anti-Litter Committee of the Progressive Club seeks your cooperation and participation in its 1967 anti-litter campaign. Tom Storer, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, has indicated a keen interest in this effort and will preside at a meeting of interested agencies, generally aimed at defining the problems and areas of responsibility. The meeting will be at 4 p.m., Tuesday June 13, in room 342, Civic Center, San Rafael.

This will be the first attempt in recent years in Marin County to approach the litter problem on a comprehensive and coordinated basis, and perhaps can serve as a pilot study for similar efforts elsewhere.

We hope that you, or a responsible member of your department will attend. A proposed agenda is attached.

Very truly yours

Hugh Dougherty, Vice-Pres.
The Progressive Club

June 7, 1967

Captain T. F. Parnow
California Highway Patrol
Corte Madera, California

Dear Sir:

The Anti-Litter Committee of the Progressive Club seeks your cooperation and participation in its 1967 anti-litter campaign. Tom Storer, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, has indicated his keen interest in this effort and will preside at a meeting of interested agencies, generally aimed at defining the problems and areas of responsibility. The meeting will be at 4 p.m., Tuesday June 13, in room 342, Civic Center, San Rafael.

This will be the first attempt in recent years in Marin County to approach the litter problem on a comprehensive and coordinated basis, and perhaps can serve as a pilot study for similar efforts elsewhere.

We hope that you, or a responsible member of your agency will attend. A proposed agenda is attached.

Very truly yours

Hugh Dougherty, Vice-Pres.
The Progressive Club

June 7, 1967

Mr. Bruce Bales, District Attorney
Marin County Courthouse
San Rafael, California

Dear Sir:

The Anti-Litter Committee of the Progressive Club seeks your cooperation and participation in its 1967 anti-litter campaign. Tom Storer, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, has indicated his keen interest in this effort and will preside at a meeting of interested agencies, generally aimed at defining the problems and area s of responsibility. The meeting will be at 4 p.m., Tuesday June 13, in room 342, Civic Center, San Rafael.

This will be the first attempt in recent years in Marin to approach the litter problem on a comprehensive and co-ordinated basis, and perhaps can serve as a pilot study for similar efforts elsewhere.

We hope that you, or a responsible member of your staff, will attend. A proposed agenda is attached.

Very truly yours

Hugh Dougherty, Vice-Pres.
The Progressive Club

PROGRESSIVE CLUB
OF STINSON BEACH
POST OFFICE BOX 6 • STINSON BEACH
CALIFORNIA • 94970

1967 Anti-Litter Campaign

AGENDA

1. Roadside Environment

   A. Condition of roadside as psychological deterrent to littering

   B. Achieving edge-of-road neatness

      mowing weeds

      blading ditches

   C. Litter pick-up

      accelerated schedule during summer months

      use of street sweeping machine where suitable

   D. Division of responsibility

      Hwy 1 (within & beyond the town proper)

      Other roads

   E. Use of County Jail inmates for litter pick-up

2. Trash Receptacles

   A. Location

      Selected sites in town & near beach

      On Hwy 1 at view turn-outs

   B. Who furnishes receptacles & what kind?

   C. Who empties receptacles?

3. Anti-litter laws

   A. Scope & adequacy of existing laws

PROGRESSIVE CLUB
OF STINSON BEACH
POST OFFICE BOX 6 • STINSON BEACH
CALIFORNIA • 94970

1967 AntiLitter Campaign

AGENDA (Cont'd)

B. Enforcement

By which agencies - Sheriff or CHP or both

Cooperation of DA & courts in prosecuting offenders

Requiring offenders to pick up litter as condition of sentence

Publicity for offenders

4. Posting Anti-Litter Signs

A. Design - simple, attractive vs. ugly, wordy

B. Who furnishes, erects & maintains

Hwy 1

County roads & beaches

5. Removal of Debris from Lagoon South of Causeway

A. County ownership

B. Who will collect debris?

C. Who will haul away?

6. Other Aspects of Litter Control

Meeting - Anti-Litter Committee with State and County officials June 13, 1967 - Civic Center, San Rafael.

Attending from Stinson Beach - Mr. Dougherty, Chairman of the meeting, Helen Walsh, ~~Maurice Obare~~ Maria Cordera, Joyce Moran. From various State and County Agencies, Captain Horner of the State Highway Division, Paul Burger, ~~XXX~~ Marin County Public Works Department, Burt Bectahl, State Division of Highways, Thomas Storer, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors - Marin County, Mr. Bayliss, District Attorney, Representative from the Division of Parks, Mr. Gilbert Phipps, California Anti-Litter Society.

Mr. Hugh Dougherty of Stinson Beach Progressive Club opened the meeting based more or less on the agenda which had been previously sent to the group attending the session. Mr. Dougherty discussed first roadside maintenance feeling that the landscaped areas were less apt to attract litter. Mr. Burger of the County said that they did a great deal of spraying which stops fires and also assists in maintaining the road. It was stated that it was more costly to mow, easier and cheaper from the County's standpoint to scrape the roadsides. The State people seemed to feel the same way. They do more mowing in Marin because of the need to prevent erosion in the foliage of grass and it does do this. Spraying destroys but it is much more costly to handle the matter in this way.

The question about when and who picks up litter was discussed. The State has no firm schedule for ~~XXXXXX~~ litter pickup. Apparently the maintenance crews which cover that area do so and are not called upon to pick up another emergency road maintenance project.

III. Due to improper use barrels have been taken out of some areas. A considerable amount of discussion about the fact that barrels seem to attract more litter and much according to the County and State people attending seems to fall by the wayside rather than in the barrels.

IV. It was indicated that caution has to be used in placing barrels on a State Highway - it has to be in a place where it is safe for cars to stop.

V. Mr. Dougherty asked whether a street-sweeper could not be used in unimproved streets in Stinson Beach off Highway I and the answer seemed to be that street-sweepers could not function except in a well-paved street with sidewalks.

VI. County litter crew picks up but there is no set schedule. They have a crew that works at Point Reyes and Panoramic. It was stated that this litter pickup was done last Saturday - only a road crew of five or six are available on the State basis. There is no agreement with the County in City areas which provide street pickup - just on Highway I. There is no set pattern of pickup since this job seems to be interspersed with other road maintenance projects being given ~~which are given~~ first priority.

VII. The use of jail inmates was discussed and Sheriff Montanes said this was accompanied by difficulties due to the lack of proper amount of supervisory staff. One man in a group of convicts was apt to end up being the only one left, with the convicts taking off with the truck. Mr. Burger of the County Division, also gave the same opinion and then indicated the use of San Quentin prisoners in this area seemed out of the question due to the danger of escape and that we would have to have more guards to supervise, etc.

VIII. Use of Youth Corp was discussed but here the problem of transportation to the job exists as well as the scarcity of workers in this group who are also restricted to only thirty-six hours of work a week for each youth. Some of the group felt that the Youth Corp did not seem to attract people who were interested in this manual kind of occupation.

IX. The question was raised as to whether it would be feasible for the Sheriff's office and Public Works to get together for the small project using jail inmates. Everyone agreed that outdoor activicity has a therapeutic aspect as well as accomplishing the needed job of litter cleanup. The County is spending at present $27,000 a year for litter pickup.

LAW ENFORCEMENT ASPECTS

There are both County and State laws that cover the litter situation- State Code Section 3111 forbids the throwing of litter and provides for penalties, $500 fine, etc. along with a possible maximum sentence of $500. Mr. Bayliss indicated that prosecution of offenders on this Code are extremely difficult unless there is a precise and exact testimony and evidence. He said you can take their license number but that is only half the battle. You must have some kind of admission that the person picked up has thrown the litter.

Area Plans of responsibility were discussed and Captain Horner indicated that his men did make some arrests although it seemed that there were very few actually made by any department and he said that his men had spent many hours going through garbage dumped along the roadside getting letters etc. and accosting citizens who in many instances would say that they had paid someone to take away the litter and the case was apparently a lost cause at that point. Also the litter on private property is the responsibility of property owners. It seems that about 15 to 18 State Highway Officers- four or five in this area and four or five normally on Sunday. They usually stop anyone who throws anything out, try to get an arrest if possible. There are very few arrests. The County also has a similar ordinance covering very much the same penalties for the offense of littering. Mr. Gilletsy, head of the Anti-California Litter League gave some more information concerning the activity in other areas. Placer County pays $150 bounty for arrest and conviction and in Walnut Creek there is a judge who serves sentences to the youths brought in for other charges - of picking up litter along the highway - issued a sentence like four hours for arrest record for vehicle violations, etc.

III. The sign question was discussed. There seems to be quite a bit of difference of opinion about how effective this is. Most people agreed that the sign as presently used by the State, is lost because it has so much fine print under it. Some suggestions for a more attractive visual sign with a real punch to it would be very welcome. Mr. Dougherty asked the State Highway

even though everyone seemed to feel it was fairly useless, to place more signs along the Highway I and see if this did not have a therapeutic ~~use.~~ effect. Mr. Gillespy ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ suggested that the education of youth was one way. The cost of litter is exceedingly expensive - $2,million dollars for San Francisco. There seemed to be a feeling among the group that the placement of signs and the bounty idea ~~XXXXX~~ were ones that should be given more consideration. Mr. Storer felt that the County Board of Supervisors might be willing to provide some funds for the proper supervision of youths and Youth Center ~~to get~~ to be used in this sort of work. The State Highway Patrol, Captain Hanson, suggested that we appoint a local committee to watch and get license numbers. Local use of Boy Scouts, etc. was another idea suggested but which is not very feasible for our use since we do not have such a group. Miss Helen Walsh suggested the possibility of approaching the State Parks to see if they could not provide some extra personnel to work on the problem of picking up litter in the town since actually the reason for this litter being there is the 12 or 14,000 people that come on a busy weekend to the State Park. Mr. ~~Hanson~~ Corson indicated that he was unable to take any action outside of providing for the upkeep of the park unless he was advised to do so by the State Office.

The group also brought up the suggestion that we try to education our youth and also try to educate business concerns ~~XXXXXXXX~~ on advertising as he suggested such ads on TV as "Throw it away" - of course it is an additional litter problem. Mr. Montanes is going to discuss with his Chief what could be done by the Sheriff's office ~~and~~ & the matter of trash cans, their appearance, and where they should be placed was given considerable coverage. Apparently there is a lighthouse-type cover for a regular oil drum which wouldprevent people from pushing in large quantities of packaged garbage manufactured by the Mipro Company on 255 South Maple Street in South San Francisco ~~or South San Francisco.~~ Tele 589-3700

The suggestion was made that perhaps Henry's Garbage in Stinson could do something on a voluntary basis. Miss Walsh raised the question about asking private individuals to take on the responsibility. There was considerable

discussion toward the close of the meeting on the cost of garbage and littler pickup in Oakland is .37 a pound and in Los Angeles .10 a pound, and it was suggested that we explore the possibility to see whether any money might be available through other sources - the County Water District was mentioned as one. groups that might have some resp. such as matter of Tires etc in the forgoon about are necessity to say the least.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA—HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION AGENCY RONALD REAGAN, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS
P.O. BOX 3366 RINCON ANNEX, SAN FRANCISCO 94119

June 26, 1967

404

Mr. Hugh Dougherty
P.O. Box 134
Stinson Beach, California

Dear Hugh:

As you know, Mr. B. C. Bachtold of my staff attended the Anti-Litter meeting at the Civic Center on June 13, 1967.

We certainly sympathize with anyone attempting to fight the litter problem, and will cooperate in trying to improve the situation along Route 1 in Stinson Beach as much as we can.

An inspection of Route 1, between Manzanita and north of Stinson Beach was made on Monday morning June 19, 1967, and we could find no litter at all except for some of the roadside ditch areas in the built-up section of Stinson Beach in the immediate vicinity of the commercial establishments serving the weekend recreational traffic. This litter consisted mostly of small papers and a few soft drink and beer cans.

We have found that under conditions such as this, litter cans usually make the problem worse by inviting disposal of wet garbage, which we are not equipped to handle. This has been the experience of many other agencies with which we come in contact. It was also the general opinion expressed by those present at the meeting on June 13.

We will install additional litter signs along Route 1 at the approaches to Stinson Beach as you requested. Mr. Bachtold has advised me he has already discussed this with you. I hope they will be effective, but I am not too optimistic. I believe the answer to the litter problem lies more in the area of education than enforcement.

I am pleased to be able to cooperate with the Progressive Club, and wish you success in your efforts to combat this problem.

Yours very truly,

ALAN S. HART
District Engineer

PROGRESSIVE CLUB
OF STINSON BEACH
POST OFFICE BOX 6 • STINSON BEACH
CALIFORNIA • 94970

June 30, 1967

Dear Sir:

Thank you for participating in our anti-litter meeting on June 13.

We thought you might be interested in our recapitulation of the discussion and a few afterthoughts:

1. Roadside Environment. Both Mr. Bachtold and Mr. Burger endorsed the use of chemicals for roadside weed control rather than mowing or blading on the basis of lower costs, although where roadside vegetation is useful for erosion control mowing is still practiced. Whether the higher costs of mowing as a psychological deterrent might be offset by lower litter collection costs was not discussed.

Litter collection by both the state and the county appeared to be performed when needed, if and when men and equipment were available. This was the only response to the suggestion that collection be accelerated during the summer months. It was determined that street sweeping machines are not suited to use where there are no curbs and gutters, and there are none of these in Stinson Beach.

Collection is performed by the Division of Highways on State Route 1 both within the town and beyond, while the County Department of Public Works forces service county maintained roads. The use of County Jail inmates, youths under control of the Probation Department, and youths under the Youth Opportunity program was discussed at length and inconclusively, with emphasis on the difficulties of providing proper supervision, it being agreed that with dual responsibility in most cases by two departments of county government, the feasability of such programs was quite limited. Efforts to better use this human resource by means of interdepartmental cooperation should be made.

2. Trash Receptacles. Opinion was divided as to the effectiveness of litter containers. On one hand it was believed that roadside trash containers tended to become a target for litter deposited near but not in containers, and to encourage dumping domestic garbage in them as well. On the other hand, it was felt that if litter is going to be dumped, it is better to have it concentrated in or near cans rather than spread along the roadside.

Not adequately discussed was the distinction between the use of trash containers for litter originating from pedestrians and that coming from passing cars.

The County is now servicing a number of litter cans in Bolinas, and according to Mr. Joske and Mr. Burger is not particularly happy at providing such localized service at countywide expense. If local organizations in Stinson Beach furnish a number of containers, there remains the problem of how to fund the regular emptying of them. It was subsequently learned that the Stinson Beach County Water District is authorized by recent legislation to provide this service and a proposal will be made that this district and the county share this expense, reflecting the opinion that part of the litter is caused by day visitors who reside elsewhere and who do not contribute to local fund sources.

Both Mr. Bachtold and Mr. Burger expressed dismay at the widespread incidence of finding wet garbage in litter cans which they presently service, in that they are equipped neither to handle nor to dispose of wet garbage. Appropriate warning signs on litter cans might be helpful, and we understand that County Counsel is preparing an amendment to the county ordinance dealing with this aspect* of the problem.

3. Anti-Litter Laws. Mr. Bales pointed out that sections 23111 and 23112 of the Vehicle Code, and comparable sections of the County Code, comprehensively cover most litter control situations, and that County Code, Sec. 7.04.120, provides for a reward to be paid for information leading to the conviction of any person who violates anti-litter laws.

Capt. Parnow and Sheriff Mountanos discussed the practical difficulties of apprehending violators of anti-littering laws. It appeared that minor violators, if and when caught, receive citations and usually forfeit $25 bail, and so do not appear in court. Mr. Bales indicated his belief that actual prosecutions of offenders with attendant publicity would have a beneficial effect as a deterrent. It seemed to some that additional emphasis and effort in the apprehension of violators would be useful as part of the larger litter control effort.

Mr. Gillespie related the experiences of other jurisdictions in the use of court imposed litter pickup work for offenders, and also of a bounty system used in Walnut Creek. Further investigation seems warranted.

4. Anti-Litter Signs. It was determined that aside from two small "No Dumping" signs on Shoreline Highway, there are no signs in the area. There was little enthusiasm for the effectiveness of signs, but they are an essential part of a broad litter control effort.

Mr. Bachtold offered to pursue this approach on State Route 1, and has since taken steps to have signs erected near both Stinson Beach and Muir Beach. Later, Mr. Burger showed some of us a sign used in the San Geronimo Valley to mark the location of litter cans, and indicated that additional signs of this type can be made available.

* wet garbage

5. Other Aspects. Throughout the meeting there was much discussion of the importance of education as the best long range tool for litter control. However, it was agreed that Stinson Beach was obviously limited in what it might do in this respect that would be effective on a regional basis.

From all of this some conclusions may be drawn:

A) Litter control has many facets.

B) Control efforts in a single direction are of limited value.

C) An across-the-board intensification of efforts by all agencies seems to promise the best results, with no single agency bearing the brunt of effort.

D) Meetings of this kind, although they do not produce magical results, tend to make each agency more aware of its responsibility for part of the total effort needed, and thus indirectly contribute to the solution of the problem.

E) Specific areas for cooperative effort are brought to light.

Sincerely,

HUGH DOUGHERTY, Vice-Pres.
The Progressive Club of Stinson Beach

Roster of persons attending
Anti-Litter Meeting, June 13, 1967

Thomas T. Storer, Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Norvell Gillespie, Pres., California Anti-Litter League

B. C. Bachtold, State Division of Highways

J. P. Burger, County Dept. Public Works

Pierre Joske, County Director Parks & Recreation

Bert Bales, Jr., District Attorney

Capt. T. E. Parnow, California Highway Patrol

Louis Mountanos, Sheriff

Arthur Volz, Pres., Stinson Beach Progressive Club

Hugh Dougherty, Vice-Pres., do

Helen Walsh, Chairman, Anti-Litter Committee, do

Joyce Moran, Anti-Litter Committee, do

Marie Couderc, Anti-Litter Committee, do

Robert Carlson, Stinson State Beach

PROGRESSIVE CLUB
OF STINSON BEACH
POST OFFICE BOX 6 • STINSON BEACH
CALIFORNIA • 94970

FIRST FALL MEETING - 1969

Where: Community Center

When: 8:00 p.m.

Date: Friday - October 24

AGENDA

Major Item: THE MESS WE'RE IN: Litter in the Streets and Weekend Traffic Congestion

These problems will be explored by guests from agencies having responsibility in these areas -

California Highway Patrol
County Sheriff's Department
California Division of Highways
County Public Works Department

Minor Items: Cable TV for Stinson Beach? Response to inquiry from Board of Supervisors.

Status report on proposed park and recreation area to meet local needs.

Appoint nominations committee.

The meeting is open to the public; everyone is welcome.